EC

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Food Allergy

WILEY

Timing of food introduction and development of food sensitization in a prospective birth cohort

Maxwell M. Tran[1] | **Diana L. Lefebvre[1]** | **David Dai[1]** | **Christoffer Dharma[1]** | **Padmaja Subbarao[2]** | **Wendy Lou[3]** | **Meghan B. Azad[4]** | **Allan B. Becker[4]** | **Piush J. Mandhane[5]** | **Stuart E. Turvey[6]** | **Malcolm R. Sears[1]** ID | **the CHILD Study Investigators**

[1]Department of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada

[2]Department of Pediatrics, Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

[3]Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

[4]Department of Pediatrics & Child Health, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

[5]Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada

[6]Department of Pediatrics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Correspondence
Malcolm R. Sears, Firestone Institute for Respiratory Health, St. Joseph's Healthcare, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada.
Email: searsm@mcmaster.ca

Abstract

Background: The effect of infant feeding practices on the development of food allergy remains controversial. We examined the relationship between timing and patterns of food introduction and sensitization to foods at age 1 year in the Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development (CHILD) birth cohort study.

Methods: Nutrition questionnaire data prospectively collected at age 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months were used to determine timing of introduction of cow's milk products, egg, and peanut. At age 1 year, infants underwent skin prick testing to cow's milk, egg white, and peanut. Logistic regression models were fitted to assess the impact of timing of food exposures on sensitization outcomes, and latent class analysis was used to study patterns of food introduction within the cohort.

Results: Among 2124 children with sufficient data, delaying introduction of cow's milk products, egg, and peanut beyond the first year of life significantly increased the odds of sensitization to that food (cow's milk adjOR 3.69, 95% CI 1.37-9.08; egg adjOR 1.89, 95% CI 1.25-2.80; peanut adjOR 1.76, 95% CI 1.07-3.01). Latent class analysis produced a three-class model: early, usual, and delayed introduction. A pattern of delayed introduction, characterized by avoidance of egg and peanut during the first year of life, increased the odds of sensitization to any of the three tested foods (adjOR 1.78, 95% CI 1.26-2.49).

Conclusions: Avoidance of potentially allergenic foods during the first year of life significantly increased the odds of sensitization to the corresponding foods.

KEYWORDS
allergy, birth cohort, cow's milk, egg, food introduction, latent class analysis, peanut, sensitization, skin prick test

1 | INTRODUCTION

The effect of timing of food introduction on the development of food allergy remains controversial. In 2000, infants at high risk for atopy were recommended to delay introduction of cow's milk products until 1 year; eggs until 2 years; and peanuts until 3 years of age.[1] In 2006, a review of 52 studies reaffirmed concerns that early food introduction increased the risk of food allergy.[2] In 2008, results from later studies[3,4] suggested only weak evidence for delaying introduction of complementary foods beyond 4-6 months of age.[5] More recent birth cohort[6-8] and cross-sectional studies[9,10] now suggest that early food introduction may protect against atopic

diseases. In the Learning Early About Peanut allergy (LEAP) Study, infants randomized to regular peanut consumption had a greatly reduced risk of peanut allergy at 5 years.[11] Most infant feeding guidelines currently indicate that parents should not delay introduction of "allergenic" foods beyond 4-6 months, provided that children are developmentally ready.[12,13]

However, a recent trial has again cast doubt on the safety and efficacy of early feeding of allergenic foods, particularly egg. Infants not already sensitized to egg at 4-6 months were randomized to receive either verum (egg white powder) or placebo.[14] At age 1 year, egg sensitization in the verum group was doubled compared with the placebo group, although statistical significance was not reached.

The Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development (CHILD) Study, a population-based prospective birth cohort,[15] has extensive longitudinal data on breastfeeding, formula, and solid food consumption, and one of the few databases with skin test reactivity at age 1. We examined the relationship between timing of introduction of specific "allergenic" foods and sensitization to those foods at age 1 year.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Subjects and study design

From 2008 to 12, 3495 pregnant women recruited from the general population in Toronto, Manitoba, Edmonton, and Vancouver, with local Research Ethics Board approval, commenced the longitudinal study. 2124 children included in this analysis all had skin testing between 9 and 18 months of age, skin prick test data for both mothers and fathers, and sufficient data to determine timing of introduction of cow's milk products, egg, and peanut.

2.2 | Food introduction data

Nutrition data were collected at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. To reduce recall bias, the first positive report of feeding for each food (cow's milk products, egg, and peanut) was used. The timing ranges were as follows: introduced between birth and 6 months; introduced between 7 and 12 months; or avoided during the first year. Cow's milk products encompassed cow's milk, dairy products (eg, yogurt, cheese, ice cream, butter), and cow's milk-based infant formula. Duration of any breastfeeding was defined by the earliest parent report of breastfeeding cessation.

2.3 | Skin prick testing

Infants underwent standardized skin prick testing at their 1-year assessment to six inhalant (Alternaria, house-dust mite [Der p and Der f], cat, dog, and cockroach) and four food (cow's milk, egg white, peanut, and soybean) allergens (ALK Abello, Mississauga, ON, Canada) using Duotip-Test® II devices (Lincoln Diagnostics Inc., Decatur, IL, USA). Parents were tested with 13 inhalant allergens and peanut.

Food sensitization was defined as a positive skin prick test (wheal diameter ≥2 mm greater than negative control) to cow's milk, egg white, or peanut. Soy was excluded from analyses due to few introduction and sensitization events. Parental atopy was defined by ≥1 positive skin tests.

2.4 | Statistical analyses

2.4.1 | Confounders

Factors considered for possible confounding effects were assessed pairwise for associations with food sensitization outcomes and for their balance between the different ranges of timing of cow's milk products, egg, and peanut introduction. Significance levels for associations and balance were obtained using chi-square tests or Fisher's exact tests of independence; those associated ($P<.2$) with both outcomes and exposures were included as covariates in all subsequent multivariate models. Study center, firstborn status, maternal and paternal atopy, self-reported paternal peanut allergy, maternal pollen, milk, egg, and peanut allergies, as well as maternal and paternal ethnicity, were included in further regression analyses as possible confounders. Although not significantly associated with the outcomes, the duration of breastfeeding has been associated with allergic sensitization,[16-19] and thus, we retained it as a potential confounder.

2.4.2 | Logistic regression

Multivariate penalized (Firth) logistic regressions were fitted to assess the associations between timing of individual food introduction and patterns of food introduction, respectively, with food sensitization outcomes at age 1 year, adjusting for potential confounders. Four outcomes were considered: sensitization to cow's milk, egg white, peanut, and to any of the three allergens.

2.4.3 | Pattern of food introduction

Latent class analysis (LCA) was used as an unsupervised explanatory tool to reveal feeding patterns in relation to timing of introduction to cow's milk products, egg, and peanut.

2.4.4 | Reverse causality sensitivity analysis

To assess potential reverse causality whereby food introduction was delayed due to early allergic manifestations, we performed sensitivity analyses excluding infants with eczema/atopic dermatitis or hives before age 6 months, determined by parental reports of these diagnoses by a physician or healthcare professional. Infants with a history of a wet or red rash on the face, inside elbows, wrist/hands, or the back of the knees were also excluded, whether or not diagnosed as having atopic dermatitis. All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.2.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Study sample characteristics

Of 2124 children, 54% were male and 76% were delivered vaginally (Table 1). Maternal and paternal atopy was common: 58% of mothers and 68% of fathers had one or more positive skin prick tests (Table S2). Parents self-reported predominantly White Caucasian ethnicity (76%) and high socioeconomic status (54% with income ≥$100 000).

At 3 months, 87% of infants were being breastfed, 62% exclusively (Table 1). At 6 months, 77% of infants were breastfeeding, but exclusive breastfeeding had decreased to 19%. The average duration of exclusive breastfeeding was 3.3 months, and of any breastfeeding 11.2 months.

3.2 | Infant feeding practices

Cow's milk products were given to 45% of infants in the first 6 months and to 97% by 1 year (Table 1), dominantly as cow's milk-based formula. Parents rarely introduced egg before 6 months (3%), with most introducing egg between 7 and 12 months (76%). Very few parents introduced peanut before 6 months (1%); more introduced peanut between 7 and 12 months (36%), but most parents (63%) avoided giving peanut during the first year of life.

3.3 | Timing of introduction of individual foods and food sensitization

Avoidance of these potentially allergenic foods during the first year of life was associated with an increased risk of food sensitization at age 1 year, after adjusting for potential confounders including breastfeeding (Table 2). The odds of sensitization to any of cow's milk, egg white, or peanut were significantly elevated in infants who avoided egg (adjOR: 2.03, 95% CI: 1.44-2.82) and peanut (adjOR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.07-2.10) during the first year. Introducing cow's milk products between 0 and 6 months of age, compared to 7-12 months, was highly protective against the development of cow's milk sensitization (adjOR: 0.29, 95% CI: 0.10-0.70), whereas avoidance of cow's milk products during the first year of life greatly increased the risk of sensitization (adjOR: 3.69, 95% CI: 1.37-9.08). Avoidance of egg and peanut during the first year of life similarly increased the risk of sensitization to the corresponding food allergens (egg adjOR: 1.89, 95% CI: 1.25-2.80; peanut adjOR: 1.76, 95% CI: 1.07-3.01). These effects related to egg and peanut remained statistically significant after excluding children with atopic dermatitis before age 6 months, indicating low evidence for reverse causality (Table 2).

3.4 | Patterns of food introduction

The latent class model revealed early (n=50), usual (n=1653), and delayed (n=421) patterns of feeding (Table 3). The small "early

TABLE 1 Characteristics of study sample (n=2124)[a]

Demographic information		N (%)	
Child gender	Male	1142 (53.8)	
Mode of delivery	Vaginal	1583 (75.7)	
	C-section	507 (24.3)	
Birth order	Firstborn	1148 (55.1)	

Breastfeeding			
	Any breastfeeding	Exclusive breastfeeding	
At 3 mo	1853 (87.2)	1312 (61.8)	
At 6 mo	1638 (77.1)	398 (18.7)	
At 1 y	1027 (48.4)		
At 18 mo	400 (18.8)		
Never	128 (6.0)		
Duration (mo)	Mean 11.20 (SD=6.74)	Mean 3.31 (SD=2.29)	

Timing of food introduction			
	Cow's milk products	Egg	Peanut
0-6 mo	957 (45.1)	69 (3.2)	
7-12 mo	1094 (51.5)	1610 (75.8)	780 (36.7)
Avoided during first year	73 (3.4)	445 (21.0)	1344 (63.3)

Sensitization to foods	
Any of three tested food allergens	231 (10.9)
Cow's milk	40 (1.9)
Egg white	151 (7.1)
Peanut	99 (4.7)
Child age at time of skin prick test (days)	Median 364.00, IQR [350.00, 389.00]

Eczema/atopic dermatitis	
Before 3 mo	580 (28.2)
Between 3 and 6 mo	390 (20.7)
Total between birth and 6 mo	776 (40.4)
Between 6 and 12 mo	555 (26.8)

[a]Totals may not add up due to missing data. The full table can be found in the Supporting Information.

feeding" group was composed predominantly of infants who were introduced to cow's milk products (98% of group) and egg (100%) by 6 months of age, while many (62%) of these 50 were also introduced to peanut before age 1 year. The "usual feeding" group was uniquely characterized by introduction of egg between 7 and 12 months of age (96% of the group). The "delayed feeding" group was marked by avoidance of egg (93% of the group) and

TABLE 2 Timing of introduction of individual foods and food sensitization at age 1 y[a]

		All infants in study			Reverse causality sensitivity analysis (excluding infants with atopic dermatitis or hives before age 6 mo)	
Timing of introduction	n	adjOR (95% CI) Food-specific sensitization	adjOR (95% CI) Milk or egg or peanut sensitization	n	adjOR (95% CI) Food-specific sensitization[b]	adjOR (95% CI) Milk or egg or peanut sensitization[b]
Cow's milk products						
0-6 mo	811	*0.29 (0.10, 0.70)*	0.80 (0.57 to 1.13)	437	0.57 (0.12, 2.11)	1.08 (0.59, 1.97)
7-12 mo	935	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	516	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Avoided during first year	61	*3.69 (1.37 to 9.08)*	1.56 (0.75, 3.04)	29	3.55 (0.34, 20.79)	2.57 (0.73, 7.51)
Egg						
0-6 mo	63	0.97 (0.31 to 2.38)	0.96 (0.37 to 2.09)	31	0.59 (0.00, 4.83)	0.34 (0.00, 2.71)
7-12 mo	1382	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	761	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Avoided during first year	362	*1.89 (1.25 to 2.80)*	*2.03 (1.44 to 2.82)*	190	*2.50 (1.19, 5.09)*	*2.41 (1.35, 4.24)*
Peanut						
0-12 mo	662	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	385	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Avoided during first year	1145	*1.76 (1.07, 3.01)*	*1.49 (1.07, 2.10)*	597	*2.65 (1.06, 7.78)*	1.52 (0.88, 2.72)

[a]Results adjusted for study center, firstborn status, maternal and paternal atopy, maternal and paternal ethnicity, self-reported paternal peanut allergy, and self-reported maternal pollen, milk, egg, and peanut allergies, and duration of any breast feeding (mo).
[b]Excluding infants with parent reports of diagnosed eczema/atopic dermatitis or hives before age 6 mo. Infants with a wet or red rash on the face, inside elbows, wrist/hands, or the back of the knees were also excluded, whether or not formally diagnosed as having atopic dermatitis.
Bold values "p<0.05"

TABLE 3 Timing of food introduction across three classes from latent class model

	Early (n=50)	Usual (n=1653)	Delayed (n=421)
Cow's milk products			
0-6 mo	49 (**98%**)	715 (43%)	193 (46%)
7-12 mo	0	925 (**56%**)	169 (40%)
Avoided during first year	1 (2%)	13 (1%)	59 (**14%**)
Egg			
0-6 mo	50 (**100%**)	19 (1%)	0
7-12 mo	0	1581 (**96%**)	29 (7%)
Avoided during first year	0	53 (3%)	392 (**93%**)
Peanut			
0-12 mo	31 (**62%**)	749 (45%)	0
Avoided during first year	19 (38%)	904 (55%)	421 (**100%**)

peanut (100%) during the first year. Delayed feeding significantly increased the risk of sensitization to egg white (adjOR: 1.67, 95% CI: 1.10-2.50), peanut (adjOR: 1.95, 95% CI: 1.19-3.12), and any of cow's milk, egg white, or peanut (adjOR: 1.78, 95% CI: 1.26-2.49; Table 4). The trend of effects remained similar when infants with eczema/atopic dermatitis or hives before age 6 months were excluded, although statistical significance was lost due to the smaller sample size (Table 4).

4 | DISCUSSION

Many Canadian infants were introduced to cow's milk products during the first 6 months of life, but parents often delayed introduction of egg and especially peanut beyond age 12 months. These feeding patterns were observed despite recommendations published in the year that study recruitment began, which stated that parents need not delay

TABLE 4 (a) Patterns of food introduction and food sensitization at age 1 y[a]. (b) Patterns of food introduction and food sensitization at age 1 y—Reverse causality sensitivity analysis[a,b]

Pattern of introduction	n	adjOR (95% CI) Cow's milk sensitization	adjOR (95% CI) Egg white sensitization	adjOR (95% CI) Peanut sensitization	adjOR (95% CI) Milk or egg or peanut sensitization
(a)					
Early	45	1.85 (0.19, 8.12)	0.72 (0.14, 2.25)	1.91 (0.50, 5.34)	0.92 (0.29, 2.30)
Usual	1415	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Delayed	347	1.93 (0.92, 3.88)	*1.67 (1.10, 2.50)*	*1.95 (1.19, 3.12)*	*1.78 (1.26, 2.49)*
(b)					
Early	23	1.37 (0.01, 14.40)	0.69 (0.01, 5.93)	1.17 (0.01, 10.52)	0.40 (0.00, 3.26)
Usual	779	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)	1.00 (Reference)
Delayed	180	1.08 (0.20, 3.98)	2.02 (0.94, 4.12)	1.78 (0.69, 4.19)	1.83 (1.00, 3.24)

[a]Results adjusted for study center, firstborn status, maternal and paternal atopy, maternal and paternal ethnicity, self-reported paternal peanut allergy, and self-reported maternal pollen, milk, egg, and peanut allergies, and duration of any breast feeding (mo).
[b]Excluding infants with parent reports of diagnosed eczema/atopic dermatitis or hives before age 6 mo. Infants with a wet or red rash on the face, inside elbows, wrist/hands, or the back of the knees were also excluded, whether or not formally diagnosed as having atopic dermatitis.
Bold values "p<0.05"

introduction of complementary foods beyond 4-6 months of age.[5] Avoidance of cow's milk products, egg, and peanut during the first year was associated with significantly increased odds of sensitization to the corresponding foods at age 1 year. Early introduction of cow's milk products and egg between 0 and 6 months, vs 7-12 months, did not increase the risk of food sensitization. Introduction of cow's milk products before 6 months of age was, in fact, highly protective against the development of sensitization to cow's milk.

Besides assessing individual foods, we identified three distinct patterns of introduction across the foods assessed in our study. Compared to usual feeding, a pattern of delayed feeding, characterized by avoidance of egg and peanut during the first year, was associated with significantly increased odds of sensitization to egg white, peanut, and to any of the three tested food allergens. All results were adjusted for potential confounding factors, and we found low evidence for reverse causality.

Previous observational studies have focused on single food allergens,[3,7,9,10] outcomes in later childhood,[4,6,8,20] and/or studied high-risk cohorts.[6] To our knowledge, this is the first observational study to examine the introduction of multiple foods in relation to food sensitization during infancy in a general population-based cohort.

The Enquiring About Tolerance (EAT) randomized controlled trial found reduced food allergy in children randomized to early introduction of six allergenic foods, vs standard timing.[21] Our study confirms these results in a general population-based birth cohort, namely that earlier food introduction is more beneficial for allergy prevention than food avoidance. Our results are also consistent with a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, which found moderate-certainty evidence that early egg introduction between age 4 and 6 months, and early peanut introduction between age 4 and 11 months, were associated with reduced egg and peanut allergy, respectively.[22] Hence, the study provides epidemiological support to the changing paradigm that early exposure to food antigens can induce tolerance rather than sensitization.

Our findings contradict results from a recent randomized controlled trial of early egg consumption.[14] Infants not sensitized to hen's egg at age 4-6 months were randomized to receive either verum (egg white powder) or placebo until age 1 year, under a concurrent egg-avoidance diet. At age 1 year, the risk of egg sensitization in the verum group was double that in the placebo group (RR=2.20), although statistical significance was not reached. In another study, infants aged 4-6.5 months, with atopic mothers but no eczema, were randomized to daily pasteurized whole egg powder or a color-matched rice powder.[23] All infants avoided egg until their first exposure to cooked egg at 10 months. Between the two groups, there was no significant difference in the proportion of infants with IgE-mediated egg allergy.

In our study, infants who avoided egg until after 1 year were at significantly increased risk of egg white sensitization, although there was no significant difference in sensitization at 1 year between infants introduced to egg before 6 months or between 7 and 12 months. We were not able to assess sensitization to food allergens prior to food introduction, as Bellach et al.[14] did, or the number of perceived or confirmed allergic reactions to foods, as Palmer et al.[23] reported. Both of these recent trials suggest that many infants are already allergic to egg by 4-6 months.[14,23] Elevated T helper type 2 (T_H2) cytokine responses at age 4 months, particularly interleukin-5 (IL-5) and IL-13, may predict egg allergy at age 1 year, and are unaltered by dietary egg introduction.[24]

It is clear from our study that food sensitization may occur among infants who reportedly had not ingested the food(s) in question. Our results may therefore have been influenced by unmeasured variables. One possible explanation is that small amounts of allergen could have been present in breast milk or hidden in foods,[25,26] especially egg-containing foods. Infants may have developed cutaneous sensitization through non-oral routes such as the skin and respiratory tract. An association between use of peanut-containing skin care products and peanut allergy has been observed.[27] Moreover, food allergens in

the home environment could cause sensitization. A Norwegian study found peanut allergen in 41%, milk allergen in 39%, and egg allergen in 22% of samples of mattress dust.[28] Another study detected peanut allergen in 19 of 21 households in the eating area or in bed; levels in house dust correlated with the frequency of peanut consumption.[29] These studies suggest that infants may be inadvertently exposed to food allergens through inhalation or cutaneous contact leading to food sensitization.

4.1 | Strengths and limitations of the study

Strengths of our study include prospective data collection reducing recall bias; large sample size; extensive data on potential confounding variables allowing adjustment in regression modeling; objective assessment of atopy by skin prick testing; and use of latent class analysis to identify patterns of food introduction. We also examined the potential for reverse causality, as in previous studies,[4,6] by conducting a sensitivity analysis excluding infants with eczema/atopic dermatitis or hives by age 6 months.

Several limitations are noted. To reduce the burden of this multidisciplinary longitudinal study, daily food diaries were not used, potentially allowing recall bias. To minimize this, we used the first parent report of food introduction and broad ranges for timing of food introduction (0-6 months, 7-12 months, or avoided during the first year). We could not conduct oral food challenges to confirm food allergy in sensitized infants. While early life food sensitization is often associated with allergic diseases in later childhood, many food-sensitized infants do not develop allergic symptoms. Lastly, the families in our cohort had higher household income than the general Canadian population, meaning that the results may not be fully generalizable, although univariate analysis did not show an association between household income and food sensitization outcomes.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Infant diet provides a modifiable factor for reducing the risk of allergy development. Most parents introduced cow's milk products, particularly cow's milk-based formula, early in life, but hesitated to introduce egg, and delayed peanut introduction beyond age 1. Avoidance of these foods during the first year was associated with significantly increased odds of sensitization to the corresponding foods at 1 year. Across all three foods, a pattern of delayed food introduction was associated with significantly increased odds of food sensitization. Our epidemiological findings support the paradigm shift away from avoidance to early food introduction for reducing allergy.

REFERENCES

1. Baker SS, Cochran WJ, Greer FR, Heyman MB, Jacobson MS. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Nutrition. Hypoallergenic infant formulas. *Pediatrics*. 2000;106:346-349.
2. Fiocchi A, Assa A, Bahna S. Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee of the American College of Allergy Asthma and Immunology. Food allergy and the introduction of solid foods to infants: a consensus document. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2006;97:10-21.
3. Poole JA, Barriga K, Leung DYM, et al. Timing of initial exposure to cereal grains and the risk of wheat allergy. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:2175-2182.
4. Zutavern A, Brockow I, Schaaf B, et al. Timing of solid food introduction in relation to atopic dermatitis and atopic sensitization: results from a prospective birth cohort study. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117:401-411.
5. Greer FR, Sicherer SH, Burks AW, AAP Committee on Nutrition and Section on Allergy and Immunology. Effects of early nutritional interventions on the development of atopic disease in infants and children: the role of maternal dietary restriction, breastfeeding, timing of introduction of complementary foods, and hydrolyzed formulas. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121:183-191.
6. Snijders BEP, Thijs C, van Ree R, van den Brandt PA. Age at first introduction of cow milk products and other food products in relation to infant atopic manifestations in the first 2 years of life: the KOALA Birth Cohort Study. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122:e115-e122.
7. Katz Y, Rajuan N, Goldberg MR, et al. Early exposure to cow's milk protein is protective against IgE-mediated cow's milk protein allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010;126:77-82.
8. Nwaru BI, Erkkola M, Ahonen S, et al. Age at the introduction of solid foods during the first year and allergic sensitization at age 5 years. *Pediatrics*. 2010;125:50-59.
9. Du Toit G, Katz Y, Sasieni P, et al. Early consumption of peanuts in infancy is associated with low prevalence of peanut allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;122:984-991.
10. Koplin JJ, Osborne NJ, Wake M, et al. Can early introduction of egg prevent egg allergy in infants? A population-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2010;126:807-813.
11. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, et al. Randomized trial of peanut consumption in infants at risk for peanut allergy. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:803-813.
12. Fleischer D, Spergel JM, Assa'ad AH, Pongracic JA, Adverse Reactions to Foods Committee of the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology. Primary prevention of allergic disease through nutritional interventions. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract*. 2013;1:29-36.
13. Muraro A, Halken S, Arshad SH, et al. EAACI food allergy and anaphylaxis guidelines: primary prevention of food allergy. *Allergy*. 2014;69:590-601.
14. Bellach J, Schwarz V, Ahrens B, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled trial of hen's egg consumption for primary prevention in infants. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;139:1591-1599.

15. Subbarao P, Anand SS, Becker AB, et al. The Canadian Healthy Infant Longitudinal Development (CHILD) study: examining developmental origins of allergy and asthma. *Thorax*. 2015;70:998-1000.

16. Sears MR, Greene JM, Willan AR, et al. Long-term relation between breastfeeding and development of atopy and asthma in children and young adults: a longitudinal study. *Lancet*. 2002;360: 901-907.

17. Elliott L, Henderson J, Northstone K, et al. Prospective study of breast-feeding in relation to wheeze, atopy, and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2008;122:49-54.

18. Hong X, Wang G, Liu X, et al. Gene polymorphisms, breast-feeding, and development of food sensitization in early childhood. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;128:374-381.

19. Jelding-Dannemand E, Malby Schoos A-M, Bisgaard H. Breast-feeding does not protect against allergic sensitization in early childhood and allergy-associated disease at age 7 years. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2015;136:1302-1308.

20. Joseph CL, Ownby DR, Havstad SL, et al. Early complementary feeding and risk of food sensitization in a birth cohort. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011;127:1203-1210.

21. Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, et al. Randomized trial of introduction of allergenic foods in breast-fed infants. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374:1733-1743.

22. Ierodiakonou D, Garcia-Larsen V, Logan A, et al. Timing of allergenic food introduction to the infant diet and risk of allergic or autoimmune disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2016;316:1181-1192.

23. Palmer DJ, Sullivan TR, Gold MS, Prescott SL, Makrides M. Randomized controlled trial of early regular egg intake to prevent egg allergy. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2017;139:1600-1607.

24. Metcalfe JR, D'Vaz N, Makrides M, et al. Elevated IL-5 and IL-13 responses to egg proteins predate the introduction of egg in solid foods in infants with eczema. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2016;46:308-316.

25. Ewan PM. Clinical study of peanut and nut allergy in 62 consecutive patients: new features and associations. *BMJ*. 1996;312: 1074-1078.

26. Vadas P, Wai Y, Burks W, Perelman B. Detection of peanut allergens in breast milk of lactating women. *JAMA*. 2001;285:1746-1748.

27. Lack G, Fox D, Northstone K, Golding J. Factors associated with the development of peanut allergy in childhood. *New Engl J Med*. 2003;348:977-985.

28. Bertelsen RJ, Faeste CK, Granum B, et al. Food allergens in mattress dust in Norwegian homes—a potentially important source of allergen exposure. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 2014;44:142-149.

29. Trendelenburg V, Ahrens B, Wehrmann AK, Kalb B, Niggemann B, Beyer K. Peanut allergen in house dust of eating area and bed—a risk factor for peanut sensitization? *Allergy*. 2013;68:1460-1462.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

APPENDIX 1

CHILD Study Investigators

MR Sears (Director), McMaster University; P Subbarao (co-director), The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; SS Anand, McMaster University; MB Azad, University of Manitoba; AB Becker, University of Manitoba; AD Befus, University of Alberta; M Brauer, University of British Columbia; JR Brook, University of Toronto; E Chen, Northwestern University, Chicago; MM Cyr, McMaster University; D Daley, University of British Columbia; SD Dell, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; JA Denburg, McMaster University; QL Duan, Queen's University; T Eiwegger, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; H Grasemann, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; K HayGlass, University of Manitoba; RG Hegele, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; DL Holness, University of Toronto; P Hystad, Oregon State University; M Kobor, University of British Columbia; TR Kollmann, University of British Columbia; AL Kozyrskyj, University of Alberta; C Laprise, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi; WYW Lou, University of Toronto; Macri J, McMaster University; PJ Mandhane, University of Alberta; G Miller, Northwestern University, Chicago; TJ Moraes, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; P Paré, University of British Columbia; C Ramsey, University of Manitoba; F Ratjen, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; A Sandford, University of British Columbia; JA Scott, University of Toronto; J Scott, University of Toronto; F Silverman, University of Toronto; E Simons, University of Manitoba; T Takaro, Simon Fraser University; SJ Tebbutt, University of British Columbia; T To, The Hospital for Sick Children & University of Toronto; SE Turvey, University of British Columbia.